Fluoride Toothpaste

Fluoride toothpastes are effective in helping to prevent tooth decay but have been identified as a major risk factor for enamel fluorosis when used inappropriately. 42,43,89

In order to decrease the risk of dental fluorosis, the American Dental Association (ADA) recommends:49

- For children younger than 3 years, caregivers should begin brushing children's teeth as soon as they begin to come into the mouth by using fluoride toothpaste in an amount no more than a smear or the size of a grain of rice. (See Figure 4 in Question 23.) Brush teeth thoroughly twice per day (morning and night) or as directed by a dentist or physician. Supervise children's brushing to ensure that they use the appropriate amount of toothpaste.
- · For children 3 to 6 years of age, caregivers should dispense no more than a pea-sized amount (Figure 4) of fluoride toothpaste. Brush teeth thoroughly twice per day (morning and night) or as directed by a dentist or physician. Supervise children's brushing to minimize swallowing of toothpaste.

The reason for including age information on directions for use for fluoride toothpaste is because it takes into account the ages during which teeth are most susceptible to dental fluorosis (during the time when the teeth are forming under the gums). Additionally, until approximately six years of age, children have not developed the full ability to spit and not swallow toothpaste. Inadvertently swallowing toothpaste during brushing can increase the risk of dental fluorosis. After age eight, the enamel formation of permanent teeth (with the exception of the third molars) is basically complete;68 therefore, the risk of developing dental fluorosis is over. Because dental fluorosis occurs while teeth are forming under the gums, individuals whose teeth have erupted are not at risk for enamel fluorosis.

Additional information on this topic can be found in this Section, Question 27.

Numerous studies have established a direct relationship between young children brushing with more than a pea-sized amount of fluoride toothpaste and the risk of very mild or mild dental fluorosis in both fluoridated and nonfluoridated communities 42,43,48,71,89 It was noted that 34% of the dental fluorosis cases in a nonfluoridated community were explained by children having brushed with fluoride toothpaste more than

once per day during the first two years of life.90 In the optimally fluoridated community, 68% of the fluorosis cases were explained by the children using more than a pea-sized amount of toothpaste during the first year of life. 90 However, recognizing that the risk tooth decay can start before a child's first birthday, it is considered important to begin using a fluoride toothpaste when the child's first tooth appears in the mouth.49

Dietary Fluoride Supplements

A systematic review published in 2006 concluded that the use of supplements during the first six years of life, and especially during the first three years, is associated with a significant increase in dental fluorosis.91

Dietary fluoride supplements should only be prescribed for children at high risk for tooth decay who live in nonfluoridated areas.41

Dietary fluoride supplements should be prescribed according to the dosage schedule found in the Evidencebased Clinical Recommendations on the Prescription of Dietary Fluoride Supplements for Caries Prevention: A Report of the American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs published in 2010.41 The current dietary fluoride supplement schedule⁴¹ is shown in the Benefits Section, Question 12, Table 1.

Determination of the level of risk for tooth decay is accomplished through the use of a professional caries risk assessment that assists the health provider identify and assess factors that could contribute to the development of cavities. 41 A child's caries (cavity) risk should be assessed on a routine basis because risk status can be affected by changes in the child's development, home conditions, dietary regimen and oral hygiene practices. Additional information on caries risk assessments can be found on the ADA website.92 Because of the many sources of fluoride in the diet, proper prescribing of fluoride supplements can be complex. It is suggested that all sources of fluoride be evaluated with a thorough fluoride history before supplements are prescribed for a child.⁴¹ This evaluation should include testing of the home water supply if the fluoride concentration is unknown. Families on community water systems should contact their water supplier to ask about the fluoride level. Consumers with private wells should have the water tested yearly to accurately determine the fluoride content.

Additional information on this topic can be found in the Benefits Section, Question 4.

Dietary fluoride supplements can be considered for infants and children aged 6 months to 16 years. Compliance with the daily administration of the supplement will enhance the cavity prevention benefits. Providers should consider and monitor the ability of the caregiver and child to adhere to the schedule. If compliance is an issue, another mode of fluoride delivery should be considered.41

Use of Over the Counter Fluoride-Containing Dental Products in the Home

Parents, caretakers and health care professionals should judiciously monitor use of all fluoridecontaining dental products by children under age six. As is the case with any therapeutic product, more is not always better. The same is true for most products found in the medicine cabinet; care should be taken to adhere to label directions on fluoride prescriptions and overthe-counter products (e.g., fluoride toothpastes and rinses).

The ADA recommends the use of fluoride mouthrinses, but not for children less than six years of age because they may swallow the rinse.93 These products should be stored out of the reach of children. Additional information regarding the use of mouthrinses can be found on the ADA website.93

Drinking Water That Has Been Fluoridated at the Recommended Levels

In 2015, the U.S. Public Health Service made a recommendation on the level of fluoride to be used in water fluoridation (0.7mg/L) to provide the best balance of protection from tooth decay while limiting the risk of dental fluorosis.16

Additional information on this topic can be found in this Section, Question 19.

Drinking Water With High Levels of Naturally Occurring Fluoride

In areas where naturally occurring fluoride levels in ground water are higher than 2 mg/L, the U.S. EPA has recommended that consumers should consider action to lower the risk of dental fluorosis for young children such as providing drinking water from an alternative source.32

Families with young children on community water systems should contact their water suppliers to ask about the fluoride level in their drinking water. Consumers with private wells should have the water tested yearly to accurately determine the fluoride content. Consumers should consult with their dentist regarding water-testing results and discuss appropriate dental health care measures.

In homes where young children (with developing permanent teeth) are faced with consuming water with a fluoride level greater than 2 mg/L, families should use an alternative primary water source that contains the recommended level of fluoride for drinking and cooking.32

♦ Additional information on this topic can be found in this Section, Question 21.

30. Why is there a warning label on a tube of fluoride toothpaste?

Answer.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has established regulations for warning labels for a number of over-the-counter items it considers. safe and effective including fluoride toothpaste.

Fact.

The FDA has published regulations regarding warning labels for over-the-counter (OTC) drugs in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR).94 All the non-prescription drugs covered by these regulations must display the general warning "Keep out of the reach of children" in bold type. The regulations outline three additional warning statements (based on the most likely route of exposure) to be listed on the label in the event the drug is misused. While they vary slightly, they all include the following language: "...get medical help or contact a Poison Control Center right away."94

In the CFR, the FDA has outlined the drug categories to be covered by these warning labels. 95 Some of the 26 categories include antacids, allergy treatment products, antiperspirants, cold remedies, ophthalmic products and dentifrices and dental products such as analgesics, antiseptics, etc.95

A specific FDA regulation 96 applies to "Anticaries Drug Products for Over-The-Counter Human Use" which provides the exact language for the warning label to be used on "fluoride dentifrice (gel, paste, and powder) products." The regulation requires the following language appear on these products under the heading "Warning":

"Keep out of reach of children under 6 years of age. [highlighted in bold type] If more than used for brushing is accidentally swallowed, get medical help or contact a Poison Control Center right away."96

The over-the-counter (OTC) drugs listed in these regulations are generally recognized as safe and effective by the FDA.94 Fluoride toothpaste is just one of a long list of OTC products that carries a warning label.

The over-the-counter (OTC) drugs listed in these regulations are generally recognized as safe and effective by the FDA. Fluoride toothpaste is just one of a long list of OTC products that carries a warning label.

While the FDA has required such label language since 1997, the ADA has required manufacturers seeking the ADA Seal of Acceptance to place a label on fluoride toothpaste since 1991 to help ensure proper use and thereby reduce the risk of dental fluorosis. At that time, the ADA required the label to include: "Do not swallow. Use only a pea-sized amount for children under six. To prevent swallowing, children under six years of age should be supervised in the use of toothpaste."

Additionally, to ensure children's safety, the ADA limits the total amount of fluoride allowed in any one tube of ADA-Accepted toothpaste. If a child were to ingest an entire tube of fluoride toothpaste at one time, the total fluoride content of a single tube is not enough to cause a fatal event. In fact, because of some of the (non-fluoride) additives in toothpaste, a child attempting to ingest a tube of toothpaste would most likely vomit before they could eat enough to become seriously ill.

31. Is fluoride, as provided by community water fluoridation, a toxic substance?

Answer.

No. Fluoride in water at the recommended level is not toxic according to the best available scientific evidence.

Fact.

Toxicity is related to dose. While large doses of fluoride could be toxic, it is important to recognize the difference between the effect of a massive dose of an extremely high level of fluoride versus the fluoride level currently recommended for public water systems. Like many common substances essential to life and good health — salt, iron, vitamins A and D, chlorine, oxygen and even water itself — fluoride can be toxic in massive quantities. Fluoride at the much lower recommended concentrations (0.7 mg/L) used in community water fluoridation is not harmful or toxic.16

Fluoride at the much lower recommended concentrations (0.7 mg/L) used in community water fluoridation is not harmful or toxic.

The single dose (consumed all at one time) of fluoride that could cause acute fluoride toxicity is 5 mg/kg of body weight (11mg/kg of body weight of sodium fluoride).97 This dose is considered the probably toxic dose (PTD) which "is defined as the minimum dose that could cause serious or lifethreatening systemic signs and symptoms and that should trigger immediate therapeutic intervention and hospitalization."97 Acute fluoride toxicity occurring from the ingestion of optimally fluoridated water is impossible.97 With water fluoridated at 1 mg/L, an individual would need to drink five (5) liters of water for every kilogram of body weight. For example, for an adult male (155 pound/70.3 kilogram man), it would require that he consume more than 350 liters (nearly 93 gallons) of water at one time to reach an acute fluoride dose. With optimally fluoridated water now set at 0.7 mg/L, it would take almost 30% more, or nearly 120 gallons (more than 1,900 eight ounce glasses) of water at one time to reach the acute dose.

Chronic fluoride toxicity can develop after 10 or more years of exposure to very high levels of fluoride, levels much higher than what is associated with drinking water fluoridated at recommended levels. The primary functional adverse effect associated with long-term excess fluoride intake is skeletal fluorosis. 40,58 The development of skeletal fluorosis and its severity is directly related to the level and duration of fluoride intake. For example, the ingestion of water naturally fluoridated at approximately 5 mg/L or greater for 10 years or more is needed to produce clinical signs of osteosclerosis (a mild form of skeletal fluorosis that can be seen as a change in bone density on x-rays) in the general population. In areas naturally fluoridated at 5 mg/L, daily fluoride intake of 10 mg/day would not be uncommon.40 A survey of X-rays from 170,000 people in Texas and Oklahoma whose drinking water had naturally occurring fluoride levels of 4 to 8 ppm revealed only 23 cases of osteosclerosis and no cases of crippling skeletal fluorosis.98 Evidence of advanced skeletal fluorosis, or crippling skeletal fluorosis, was not seen in communities in the United States where water supplies contained up to 20 mg/L of naturally occurring fluoride. 40,99 In these communities, "daily fluoride intake of 20 mg/day would not be uncommon. 40 Crippling skeletal fluorosis is extremely rare in the United States and is not associated with water fluoridated at the recommended level. 40.58

Additional information on this topic can be found in this Section, Question 26.

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) identifies the most serious hazardous waste sites in the nation. These sites make up the Superfund: National Priorities List (NPL) and are the sites targeted for long-term federal cleanup activities. 100 The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) prepares toxicological profiles for hazardous substances that describe the effects of exposure from chemicals found at these sites and acute releases of these hazardous substances.¹⁰¹ The ATSDR provides answers to the most frequently asked questions about exposure to hazardous substances found around hazardous waste sites and the effects of exposure on human health. The Toxicological Profile for Fluorides, Hydrogen Fluoride and Fluorine indicates that subsets of the population could be unusually susceptible to the toxic effects of fluoride and its compounds at high doses, such as what might be encountered in the cleanup of a chemical spill. However, there are no data to suggest that exposure to the low levels of fluoride associated with community

water fluoridation would result in adverse effects in these potentially susceptible populations.¹⁰¹ The ATSDR's Public Health Statement on Fluorides states that "when used appropriately, fluoride is effective in preventing and controlling dental caries."102

While large doses of fluoride could be toxic, it is important to recognize the difference in the effect of a massive dose of an extremely high level of fluoride versus the recommended amount of fluoride found in optimally fluoridated water. The implication that fluoride in large doses and fluoride in trace amounts have the same effect is completely unfounded. Many substances in widespread use are very beneficial in small amounts while toxic in large quantities.

The possibility of adverse health effects from continuous low level consumption of fluoride over long periods has been studied extensively. As with other nutrients, fluoride is safe and effective when used and consumed properly. No charge against the safety of fluoridation has ever been substantiated by generally accepted scientific knowledge. After more than 70 years of research and practical experience, the best available scientific evidence indicates that fluoridation of community water supplies is safe.

After more than 70 years of research and practical experience, the best available scientific evidence indicates that fluoridation of community water supplies is safe.

32. Does drinking water fluoridated at the recommended levels cause or accelerate the growth of cancer?

Answer.

According to the best available scientific evidence, there is no association between cancer rates in humans and drinking water that is fluoridated at the recommended levels.

Fact.

Since community water fluoridation was introduced in 1945, more than 50 epidemiologic studies in different populations and at different times have failed to demonstrate an association between fluoridation and the risk of cancer.1 Studies have been conducted

in the United States, 103-108 Japan, 109 the United Kingdom, 110-112 Canada 113 and Australia. 114 In addition, over the years, a number of independent bodies from around the world have conducted extensive reviews of the scientific literature and concluded that there is no relationship between fluoridation and cancer.1,2,4,59,115 At the beginning of the Safety Section in Question 17, a number of recent reviews are listed that have also concluded there is no relationship between fluoridation and cancer. 10,11,13,15,18,20,21 Clearly, the best available science indicates there is no association between fluoridation and cancer.

Clearly, the best available science indicates there is no association between fluoridation and cancer.

Many of the questions about a possible association between fluoride and cancer center around a form of bone cancer called osteosarcoma. This topic is covered in the next question.

In October 2011, the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) through its Carcinogen Identification Committee (CIC) determined that fluoride does not cause cancer. The review was part of California's Proposition 65 listing process.¹¹⁶ Proposition 65 was enacted in 1986 with the intent to protect California citizens and the State's drinking water sources from chemicals known to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm and to inform citizens about exposure to such chemicals. It requires the Governor to publish, at least annually, a list of chemicals known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity. The OEHHA administers meetings of the CIC and the list of items to be reviewed through the Proposition 65 process. On May 29, 2009, fluoride was selected by OEHHA for review by the CIC. Due to widespread exposure to fluoride, it was identified as one of five high priority chemicals to be evaluated. A public comment period followed. On July 8, 2011, as the next step in the Proposition 65 process, the CIC released a hazard identification document, "Evidence on the Carcinogenicity of Fluoride and its Salts". It was used by the CIC in its deliberations on whether fluoride should be listed as a carcinogen under Proposition 65. A second public comment period followed. At a public meeting on October 12, 2011, the CIC

heard additional testimony and then voted on the question, "Do you believe that it has been clearly shown, through scientifically valid testing according to generally accepted principles, that fluoride causes cancer?" The CIC's vote was unanimous (6-0) that fluoride had not been clearly shown to cause cancer. 117

On its website, the American Cancer Society (ACS) provides a page titled, "Water Fluoridation and Cancer Risk."118 In question and answer format, the ACS provides basic information regarding fluoridation as well as information on a number of studies that examined the possible association between fluoridation and cancer — many of which are referenced in the opening paragraph of this Safety Section. Near the bottom of the ACS web page, under the header "Assessments by Expert Groups" is this paragraph:

The general consensus among the reviews done to date is that there is no strong evidence of a link between water fluoridation and cancer. However, several of the reviews noted that further studies are needed to clarify the possible link.118

Does fluoridated water cause osteosarcoma?

Answer.

No. The best available scientific evidence shows that fluoridated water does not cause osteosarcoma.

Fact.

In 2016, the American Society of Clinical Oncology estimated that a total of 1,000 people, including 450 children and teens younger than 20, would be diagnosed with osteosarcoma (a form of bone cancer) in the United States during the year. About 2% of all childhood cancers are osteosarcoma which most often affects those between the ages of 10 and 30. Osteosarcoma is about 50% more common in boys than girls. The 5-year survival rate for children and teens with osteosarcoma that is only in one place at the time of diagnosis is 70%.119

In 2014, researchers from England published the largest study ever conducted examining the possible association between fluoride in drinking water and risk of osteosarcoma or Ewing sarcoma. Analyzing 2,566 osteosarcoma cases and 1,650 Ewing's sarcoma cases from 1980 to 2005, the study found that higher

levels of natural or adjusted fluoride in drinking water in Great Britain (England, Scotland and Wales) had no impact on the incidence of either osteosarcoma or Ewing's sarcoma in people aged 0-49. Water fluoride levels ranged from near zero to a maximum of approximately 1.26 ppm. 120

A case-control study¹²¹ published in 2011 found no significant association between the fluoride levels in bone and osteosarcoma risk. Led by a Harvard researcher, the study analyzed fluoride levels in bone samples from 137 patients with primary osteosarcoma and bone samples from 51 patients with other newly-diagnosed malignant bone tumors who served as a control group. Conducted in nine U.S. hospitals over an eight-year period (1993 and 2000), the study was considered the most extensive to date on the issue. The vast majority of fluoride in the body is located in calcified tissue such as bone. The study hypothesized that if chronic exposure to fluoride was a risk factor for osteosarcoma, then those cases would have a significantly higher level of fluoride in bone than the controls. This was not the case. The major advantage of this study was the ability to use actual bone fluoride levels as a measure of fluoride intake rather than estimating fluoride exposure. Focusing on fluoride intake from water as a primary source of fluoride, in earlier studies122,123 members of the research team noted the difficulty in obtaining accurate information on fluoride levels of drinking water at the subjects' homes. Even when accurate information could be obtained, that information did not reflect actual consumption of water by the study subjects. Funding for the study came from three agencies of the National Institutes of Health — the National Cancer Institute, the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, 121

The best available scientific evidence shows that fluoridated water does not cause osteosarcoma (a form of bone cancer).

34. Does fluoride, as provided by community water fluoridation, inhibit the activity of enzymes in humans?

Answer.

The best available scientific evidence demonstrates that the recommended levels of fluoride in drinking water, has no effect on human enzyme activity.

Fact.

Enzymes are organic compounds that promote chemical change in the body. The best available scientific evidence has not indicated that water fluoridated at the recommended levels has any influence on human enzyme activity. There are no available data to indicate that, in humans drinking water fluoridated at the recommended levels, the fluoride affects enzyme activities with toxic consequences. 124 The World Health Organization report, Fluorides and Human Health states, "No evidence has yet been provided that fluoride ingested at 1 ppm in the drinking water affects intermediary metabolism of food stuffs, vitamin utilization or either hormonal or enzymatic activity."125

In 2006, the National Research Council Report stated that the available data were not sufficient to draw any conclusions about potential effects or risks to liver enzymes from low-level long-term fluoride exposures such as those seen with community water fluoridation.9

The concentrations of fluoride used in laboratory studies to produce significant inhibition of enzymes are hundreds of times greater than the concentration present in body fluids or tissues. 126 While fluoride could affect enzymes in an artificial environment outside of a living organism in the laboratory, it is unlikely that adequate cellular levels of fluoride to adversely alter enzyme activities would be attainable in a living organism. The two primary physiological mechanisms that maintain a low concentration of fluoride ion in body fluids are the rapid excretion of fluoride by the kidneys and the uptake of fluoride by calcified tissues.52

35. Does the ingestion of optimally fluoridated water adversely affect the thyroid gland or its function?

Answer.

The best available scientific evidence indicates optimally fluoridated water does not have an adverse effect on the thyroid gland or its function.

Fact.

A number of systematic reviews completed in the last ten years have looked at a possible association between exposure to fluoride and thyroid function.

In 2017, the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council's systematic review Information Paper — Water Fluoridation: Dental and Other Human Health Outcomes¹⁰ concluded, "There is no reliable evidence of an association between water fluoridation. and current Australian levels and thyroid function." (Current recommendations for fluoride levels in drinking water in Australia are a range of 0.6 to 1.1 mg/L depending on climate.)10

A scientific evaluation of fluoridating agents of drinking water was done by the Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risks (SCHER) as requested by the European Commission (EC). The EC is the European Union's (EU) executive body with responsibility to manage EU policy. The final report, Critical review of any new evidence on the hazard profile, health effects, and human exposure to fluoride and the fluoridating agents of drinking water, was released in 2011. It stated that "A systematic evaluation of the human studies does not suggest a potential thyroid effect at realistic exposures to fluoride."20

In 2015, the U.S. Public Health Service Recommendation for Fluoride Concentration in Drinking Water for the Prevention of Dental Caries16 was released. It referred to the 2006 National Research Council's report, Fluoride in Drinking Water — A Scientific Review of the EPA's Standards,9 stating:

The 2006 NRC review considered a potential association between fluoride exposure (2-4 mg/L) and changes in the thyroid, parathyroid, and pineal glands in experimental animals and humans. The report noted that available studies of the effects of fluoride exposure on endocrine function have limitations. For example, many studies did not measure actual hormone concentrations, and several studies did not report nutritional status or other factors likely to confound findings. The NRC called for better measurement of exposure to fluoride in epidemiological studies and for further research "to characterize the direct and indirect mechanisms of fluoride's action on the endocrine system and factors that determine the response, if any, in a given individual."9

On March 22, 2006, during the press webcast 127 for the release of the 2006 National Research Council (NRC) Report, John Doull, M.D., Ph.D., Professor Emeritus of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City and Chair of the NRC Committee was asked about the conclusions reached on fluoride and the endocrine system (which includes the thyroid). Dr. Doull replied:

The Endocrine Chapter (of the NRC Report) is a relatively new chapter. It has not been extensively reviewed previously and our feeling was that we needed to provide a baseline of all the adverse effects and a lot of the systems that hadn't really been looked at very closely. We have a chapter for example on the central nervous system which has not been reviewed in detail previously. We went through all those effects in the endocrine chapter. the thyroid effect, the parathyroid effect, calcitonin to see whether there were sufficient evidence for us to include any of those effects as specific adverse effects at 4 mg/L and the conclusion of our Committee was that those were all things we needed to worry about. Those were all things that we made recommendations for additional research. But, none of them reached the level where we considered them to be signs of adverse effects at the 4 mg/L level. (Emphasis added.)127

A population-based Canadian study¹²⁸ was released in 2017 that examined the association between fluoride exposure and thyroid conditions. Data for the analysis came from Cycles 2 (2009–2011) and 3 (2012–2013) of Statistics Canada's Canadian Health Measures Survey (CHMS). The CHMS' target population is all Canadian residents between the ages of 3 and 79 living in all ten Canadian provinces. It collects health information by an individual in-home interview followed by a clinical exam conducted in a mobile clinic. The researchers' reported findings suggest that, at the population level in Canada, fluoride exposure does not contribute to impaired thyroid functioning during a time when multiple sources of fluoride exposure, including community water fluoridation, exist. It was additionally noted that the findings could be broadly relevant to other countries with similar populations and water fluoridation.¹²⁸

In 2015, a study was published in which the authors claimed to have found a positive association between fluoride levels in drinking water and hypothyroidism. Drawing immediate criticism, the published critiques noted that a major weakness of this study was the failure to consider a number of potential confounding factors. The only confounders taken into consideration were age, sex and socioeconomic status. While acknowledging that iodine intake is associated with thyroid health, the authors failed to consider iodine as a factor along with the impacts of smoking and medications. The strong conclusion of the paper was not supported by the work of the authors or other published literature. 130-133

In addition, two studies have explored the association between fluoridated water and cancer of the thyroid gland. Both studies found no association between optimal levels of fluoride in drinking water and thyroid cancer.106.110

36. Does water fluoridation affect the pineal gland causing the early onset of puberty?

Answer.

The best available scientific evidence indicates that water fluoridation does not cause the early onset of puberty.

Fact.

The pineal gland is an endocrine gland located in the brain which produces melatonin.133 Endocrine glands secrete their products into the bloodstream and body tissues and help regulate many kinds of body functions. The hormone, melatonin, plays a role in sleep, aging and reproduction.134

A single researcher has published one study in a peer-reviewed scientific journal regarding fluoride accumulation in the pineal gland. The purpose of the study was to discover whether fluoride accumulates in the pineal gland of older adults. This limited study, conducted on only 11 cadavers whose average age at death was 82 years, indicated that fluoride deposited in the pineal gland was significantly linked to the amount of calcium in the pineal gland.¹³⁵ It would not be unexpected to see higher levels of calcium in the pineal gland of

older individuals as this would be considered part of a normal aging process. As discussed in Question 25, approximately 99% of the fluoride present in the body is associated with hard or calcified tissues.52 The study concluded fluoride levels in the pineal gland were not indicators of long-term fluoride exposure.135

The same researcher had theorized in her 1997. dissertation, portions of which are posted on numerous internet sites opposed to fluoridation, that the accumulation of fluoride in children's pineal glands leads to an earlier onset of puberty. However, the researcher notes in the dissertation that there is no verification that fluoride accumulates in children's pineal glands. Moreover, a study conducted in Newburgh (fluoridated) and Kingston (nonfluoridated), New York found no statistically significant difference between the onset of menstruation for girls living in a fluoridated versus nonfluoridated area. 136 The National Research Council's 2006 report, Fluoride in Drinking Water: A Scientific Review of EPA's Standards, stated that a connection between fluoride pineal function in humans remains to be demonstrated."9

37. Can fluoride, at the levels found in drinking water that is fluoridated to the recommended levels, alter immune function or produce an allergic reaction (hypersensitivity)?

Answer.

There is no scientific evidence of any adverse effect from fluoridation on any specific immunity, nor have there been any medically confirmed reports of allergic reaction from drinking or being in contact with optimally fluoridated water.

Fact.

There is no scientific evidence linking health conditions related to immune function such as HIV or AIDS (acquired immune deficiency syndrome) with community water fluoridation.137

There are no confirmed cases of allergy to fluoride, or of any positive skin testing in human or animal models.138 A committee of the National Academy of Sciences evaluated clinical reports of possible allergic responses to fluoride in 1977 and stated, "The reservation in accepting (claims of allergic reaction) at face value is the lack of similar reports in much larger numbers of people who have been exposed to considerably more fluoride than was involved in the

original observations."7 The World Health Organization also judged these cases to represent "a variety of unrelated conditions" and found no evidence of allergic reactions to fluoride. 139,140

38. Is fluoride, as provided by community water fluoridation, a genetic hazard?

Answer.

The best available scientific evidence indicates that drinking water fluoridated at the recommended levels is not a genetic hazard.

Fact.

Chromosomes are the DNA-containing bodies of cells that are responsible for the determination and transmission of hereditary characteristics. A single chromosome contains many genes which are the functional hereditary units that occupy a fixed location on a chromosome. Many studies have examined the possible effects of fluoride on chromosome damage.

In 1993, the National Research Council (NRC) of the National Academies issued a report⁸ that supported the conclusion that drinking optimally fluoridated water is not a genetic hazard. In a statement summarizing its research⁸, the NRC stated, "in vitro data indicate that:

- 1. the genotoxicity of fluoride is limited primarily to doses much higher than those to which humans are exposed,
- 2. even at high doses, genotoxic effects are not always observed, and
- 3. the preponderance of the genotoxic effects that have been reported are of the types that probably are of no or negligible genetic significance."8

The lowest dose of fluoride reported to cause chromosomal changes in mammalian cells was approximately 170 times that normally found in human cells in areas where drinking water was fluoridated at 1.0 mg/L, which indicates a large margin of safety.8 (Note that this would be 242 times greater with fluoridation now set at 0.7 mg/L.)

In its subsequent 2006 report,9 the NRC stated after reviewing the evidence available since its 1993 report, that the weight of evidence from studies on rodents indicated a very low probability that fluoride presents a risk of genetic mutation for humans.9

In addition, the 2006 NRC report⁹ indicated that the results of human studies related to fluoride and its effect on genotoxicity since its 1993 report are inconsistent and do not strongly indicate the presence or absence of genotoxic potential for fluoride. Continued research and evaluation are recommended 9

39. Does fluoride at the levels found in water fluoridation affect human reproduction, fertility or birth rates?

Answer.

According to the best available scientific evidence, water fluoridation does not have an adverse effect on human reproduction, fertility or birth rates.

Fact.

In 2011, the European Commission requested the European Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risks (SCHER) perform a critical review of fluoridating agents of drinking water. A portion of that report looked at reproductive issues. The report concluded that there is no new evidence from human studies indicating that fluoride in drinking water influences male and female reproductive capacity.20

In its 2006 report,⁹ the National Research Council (NRC) indicated that since 1990, the quality and number of reproductive and developmental studies using laboratory animals have improved significantly. These high-quality studies indicate adverse reproductive and developmental effects occur only at levels of fluoride much higher than 4 mg/L.9 The NRC also indicated that a few studies conducted with human populations have suggested that fluoride might be associated with alterations in reproductive hormones and fertility. However, the report continued on to explain that limitations in study design, such as the lack of control of reproductive variables, make these studies of little value for risk evaluation.9

A study examining the relative risk of stillbirths and congenital abnormalities (facial clefts, Down syndrome and neural tube defects) found no evidence that fluoridation had any influence on the rates of congenital abnormalities or stillbirths.141 The study, conducted in 2003, analyzed data from two population based registries to identify all stillbirths and congenital abnormalities occurring in northeastern England between 1989 and 1998 and compared the rates of stillbirths and

specific congenital abnormalities in fluoridated and nonfluoridated communities. The study found no significant association between the occurrence of stillbirths or specific congenital abnormalities and fluoride levels in drinking water.141

40. For women, does drinking water fluoridated at the recommended levels create a risk for their children to be born with Down syndrome?

Answer.

There is no known association between the consumption of drinking water fluoridated at the recommended levels and Down syndrome.

Fact.

All people with Down syndrome have an extra, critical portion of chromosome 21 present in all or some of their cells. This additional genetic material alters the course of development and causes the characteristics associated with Down syndrome. The cause of the extra full or partial chromosome is still unknown. Maternal age is the major factor that has been linked to an increased chance of having a baby with Down syndrome. There is no definitive scientific research that indicates that Down syndrome is caused by environmental factors or the parents' activities before or during pregnancy.142

However, those opposed to fluoridation sometimes still assert that consuming fluoridated tap water can cause Down syndrome.

In 2014, the systematic review published by Public Health England reviewed the literature and concluded that there was no evidence of a difference in the rate. of Down syndrome in fluoridated and nonfluoridated areas.17

A number of studies have looked at this issue in the past. Several are summarized below.

A detailed study of approximately 2,500 children born with Down syndrome was conducted in Massachusetts. A rate of 1.5 cases per 1,000 births was found in both fluoridated and nonfluoridated communities, providing strong evidence that fluoridation does not increase the risk of Down syndrome.143

Another large population-based study with U.S. national data relating to nearly 1.4 million births showed no association between water fluoridation and the incidence of congenital malformations including Down syndrome.144

A comprehensive study of Down syndrome births was conducted in 44 U.S. cities over a two-year period. Rates of Down syndrome were comparable in both fluoridated and nonfluoridated cities.145

41. Does ingestion of water fluoridated at recommended levels have any effect on intelligence (IQ) in children or neurological impact?

Answer.

The best available science-based evidence does not establish a causal relationship between consumption of water fluoridated at recommended levels and lowered intelligence (IQ) or behavioral disorders in children.

Fact.

A number of systematic reviews and individual studies provide evidence that consumption of optimally fluoridated water at levels recommended in the U.S. (0.7 mg/L) does not lower IQ or cause behavior problems in children. The following conclusions from a number of systematic reviews and individual studies support the safety of community water fluoridation.

A number of systematic reviews and individual studies provide evidence that consumption of optimally fluoridated water at levels recommended in the U.S. (0.7 mg/L) does not lower IQ or cause behavior problems in children.

In 2017, the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council's systematic review Information paper — Water Fluoridation: Dental and Other Human Health Outcomes¹⁰ concluded, "The evidence from a single study of acceptable quality shows that there is no association between water fluoridation at current Australian levels and the cognitive function of children or adults." (Current recommendations for fluoride levels in drinking water in Australia are a range of 0.6 to 1.1 mg/L depending on climate.)10

The report, Health Effects of Water Fluoridation: An Evidence Review, issued in 2015 by the Ireland Health Research Board noted,15 "There was only one study carried out in a non-endemic or CWF area (like Ireland) that examined fluoride and IQ. This was a prospective cohort study (whose design is appropriate to infer causality) in New Zealand. The study concluded that there was no evidence of a detrimental effect on IQ as a result of exposure to CWF (community water fluoridation)."15

In 2014, a scientific review, Health effects of water fluoridation: A review of the scientific evidence.18 commissioned by the New Zealand Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor and the President of the Royal Society of New Zealand concluded: "There is no convincing evidence of neurological effects at fluoride concentrations achieved by CWF."18

At the request of the European Commission, the Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risks (SCHER) conducted a critical review²⁰ of any new evidence on the hazard profile, health effects, and human exposure to fluoride and the fluoridating agents of drinking water. Their report of May 2011 reviewed animal and human studies concluding that "there is not enough evidence to conclude that fluoride in drinking water at concentrations permitted in the EU may impair the IQ of children. SCHER also agreed that a biological plausibility for the link between fluoridated water and IQ has not been established."20

As noted in the preceding paragraphs, at least three systematic reviews 10.15.18 indicated that there was only one high-quality prospective cohort study that addressed the issue of IQ. Published in 2014, a study146 conducted in New Zealand followed a group of more than 1,000 people born in the early 1970s and measured childhood IQ at the ages of 7, 9, 11 and 13 years and adult IQ at the age of 38 years. Early life exposure to fluoride from a variety of sources was recorded and adjustments were made for factors potentially influencing IQ. Childhood factors associated with IQ variation included socio-economic status of parents, birth weight and breastfeeding, as well as secondary and tertiary educational achievement, which is associated with adult IQ. This detailed study revealed no evidence that exposure to water fluoridation in New Zealand affects neurological development or IQ. (Recommended levels of fluoride used in New Zealand's fluoridation program range from 0.7 mg/L to 1.0 mg/L.)146

Those opposed to water fluoridation have promoted studies that reportedly show fluoridation causes lower intelligence (IQ) in children. The studies cited are often from China, Mexico, India or Iran where social, nutritional and environmental conditions are significantly different from those in the United States. The vast majority of these studies have not been published in peerreviewed English language journals. The consensus of those who have reviewed these studies is that the quality of these studies does not stand up to scientific scrutiny. The studies are of low quality, have a high risk of bias and use a study design unsuited to prove or disprove theories. They take no or little account of other factors that are known to cause a lowering of IQ (also called confounders) such as nutritional status, socioeconomic status, iodine deficiency and consumption of other harmful elements in ground water (arsenic or lead).

At the request of the U.S. EPA, a report on fluoride in drinking water issued in 2006 by the National Research Council⁹ noted that the significance of the Chinese studies reviewed was "uncertain." "Most of the papers were brief reports and omitted important procedural details... Most of the studies did not indicate whether the IO tests were administered in a blinded manner. Some of the effects noted in the studies could have been due to stress induced by the testing conditions. Without detailed information about the testing conditions and the tests themselves, the committee was unable to assess the strength of the studies."9

In England in 2009, the South Central Strategic Health Authority requested an independent critical appraisal of 19 papers and one abstract that reported an association between fluoride in drinking water and IQ in countries outside England. The appraisal 147 noted that the study design and methods used by many of the researchers in these studies had serious limitations. The researchers also exhibited a lack of a thorough consideration of confounding factors as a source of bias in the results. From these studies alone, it was "uncertain how fluoride was responsible for any impairment in intellectual development." Significant differences were noted in conditions between the communities studied and conditions in England. For example, some studies noted high levels of naturally occurring fluoride in drinking water and exposure to fluoride from other sources including the practice of burning high fluoride coal to heat poorly ventilated homes in China. Additionally, in many cases, there were stark differences in other environmental conditions and socioeconomic characteristics.147

In November 2016, those opposed to fluoridation filed a legal petition¹⁴⁸ with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in Washington, D.C. calling for the EPA to ban the addition of fluoridating chemicals to public drinking water on the grounds that a large body of animal, cellular, and human research showed that fluoride is neurotoxic at doses within the range now seen in fluoridated communities. in the U.S. (0.7 mg/L). The EPA responded to the petition in February 2017 noting, "After careful consideration. EPA denied the TSCA section 21 petition. primarily because EPA concluded that the petition has not set forth a scientifically defensible basis to conclude that any persons have suffered neurotoxic harm as a result of exposure to fluoride in the U.S. through the purposeful addition of fluoridation chemicals to drinking water or otherwise from fluoride exposure in the U.S."148 As allowed under the TSCA process, the petitioners filed a lawsuit challenging the EPA ruling in April 2017 in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California at San Francisco. In late 2017, a federal judge denied an EPA motion to dismiss the lawsuit.

In 2017 a study from Mexico City¹⁴⁹ received some coverage in the popular press. The authors concluded higher urinary fluoride levels of pregnant women were associated with lower scores on tests of cognitive function in their children. This was an observational study that by definition could only show a possible association between fluoride exposure and IQ — not cause and effect. This small study did not adequately address a number of potential confounders that might explain the possible association such as breast feeding, maternal age, gestational age, birth weight and education as well as exposures to lead, mercury, arsenic and iodine that affect IQ and other measures of cognitive ability. Unlike conditions in the U.S., the pregnant women participating in the study were exposed to varied fluoride levels from naturally occurring fluoride in the water supply (in some cases at levels almost twice as high as the level recommended for community water fluoridation in the U.S.) and fluoridated salt.149

Additional research on this topic is underway through the National Toxicology Program's systematic review using animal studies to evaluate potential neurobehavioral effects from exposure to fluoride during development. Initiated in 2015, work continued in 2017.23

42. Does drinking fluoridated water increase the level of lead in the blood or cause lead poisoning in children?

Answer.

The best available scientific evidence has not shown. any association between water fluoridation and blood lead levels.

Fact.

A number of reviews and data analyses indicate no association between water fluoridation and blood lead levels.

In 2011, the European Commission requested that the European Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risks (SCHER) perform a critical review of fluoridating agents of drinking water. The committee concluded that "it is highly unlikely that there would be an increased release of lead from pipes due to hexafluorosilicic acid.20 Hexafluorosilicic acid is another name for fluorosilicic acid which is one of the additives used to fluoridate water in the U.S.

Additional information on this topic can be found in the Fluoridation Practice Section, Question 49.

A 2006 study analyzed data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (1988-1994) and the 1992 Fluoridation Census to evaluate the relationship between water fluoridation and lead concentrations in children. The study concluded that the results did not support that the silicofluorides used in community water systems caused higher lead concentrations in children. 150

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention,151 the average blood lead levels of young children in the U.S. have continued to decline since the 1970s primarily due to lead poisoning prevention laws such as the phase-out of leaded paint and leaded gasoline. The primary remaining sources of childhood lead exposure are deteriorated leaded paint, house dust contaminated by leaded paint and soil contaminated by leaded paint and/or decades of industrial and motor vehicle emissions. Besides exposure to lead paint in older homes, lead water pipes and fixtures also can be found in homes built before 1978. In some areas of the county, folk remedies and pottery also add to lead exposure. 151 Findings from the National Health and Nutrition

Examination Surveys (NHANES) from 1976–1980 to 2003-2008 show that the percentage of children aged 1- to 5-years-old having high lead blood levels (≥10 µg/dL) declined dramatically from 88.2% to 0.9%. 152 During that same time period (1976) to 2008), the percentage of the U.S. population receiving fluoridated water rose from approximately 48.8% to 64.3%. 153 Moreover, in the 1991-1994 NHANES, the overall (all age groups) prevalence of high lead blood levels (≥10 μg/dL) was 2.2% but decreased to 0.7% by the 1999-2002 survey.151 While antifluoridationists claim that fluoridated water increases lead blood levels in children, the fact is that since 1976 while the use of water fluoridation has increased, the percentage of children in the U.S. with high lead blood levels actually has continued to decreased substantially. This demonstrates that the claim made by those opposed to water fluoridation that fluoride in water increases lead concentrations in children is unfounded. It should be noted that approximately 95% of the primary sources of adult lead exposure are occupational.154 In general, adult blood lead levels have continued to decline over recent decades due largely to improved prevention measures in the workplace and changes in employment patterns.154

Those opposed to water fluoridation sometimes claim that there is an increase in acidity when fluoride is added to water and that the acidic water in the system leaches lead from pipes and fixtures. The process of adding fluoride to water has minimal impact on the acidity or pH of drinking water. Under some water quality conditions, a small increase in the acidity of drinking water that is already slightly acidic can be observed after treatment with alum. chlorine, fluorosilicic acid or sodium fluorosilicate. In such cases, additional water treatment to adjust the pH to neutralize the acid in water distribution systems is standard practice in water plants.155 Water facilities typically maintain a pH of between 7.0 and 8.0 as standard practice indicating that the water leaving the plant is slightly alkaline and non-acidic. 156

Despite this information, antifluoridationists continue to exploit their unfounded claims that fluoridation can lead to an increased uptake of lead by children. A 1999 study¹⁵⁷ charged that fluorosilicic acid and sodium silicofluoride did not disassociate completely when added to water systems and could be responsible for lower pH (more acidic) levels of drinking water, leaching lead from plumbing systems and increasing lead uptake by children. In response to the study, scientists from the EPA reviewed the basic science that was the foundation for the claim that silicofluorides leach lead from water pipes and found that many of the chemical assumptions made in the original ecological study were scientifically unjustified.158 Fluoride additives do disassociate very quickly and completely release fluoride ions into the water. The research from the 1999 study was inconsistent with accepted scientific knowledge and the authors of that study failed to identify or account for those inconsistencies. The EPA scientists discounted the 1999 study and said there were no credible data to suggest any link between fluoridation and lead. Overall, the EPA scientists concluded that "...no credible evidence exists to show that water fluoridation has any quantifiable effects on the solubility, bioavailability, bioaccumulation, or reactivity of lead compounds."158

43. Does drinking water fluoridated at recommended levels cause Alzheimer's disease?

Answer.

The best available scientific evidence has not indicated an association between drinking optimally fluoridated water and Alzheimer's disease.

Fact

Scientists believe the causes of late-onset Alzheimer's, the most common form of the disease, include a combination of age-related brain changes, genetic, lifestyle, and environmental factors. The importance of any one of these factors in increasing or decreasing the risk of developing Alzheimer's could differ from person to person. Early-onset Alzheimer's is less common (fewer than 10% of Alzheimer's cases) with the first signs of the disease typically appearing between an individual's 30s and mid-60s. It is believed to be caused primarily by gene changes passed down from parent to child.159

A study published in 1998¹⁶⁰ raised concerns about the potential relationship between fluoride, aluminum and Alzheimer's disease. However, several flaws in the study's experimental design precluded any definitive conclusions from being drawn.¹⁶¹ Concerns were noted about a number of aspects of the protocol including, but not limited to, the high percentage of the test rodents dying during the study and that

the researchers failing to account for the high levels of aluminum and fluoride in the chow fed to all test rodents.¹⁶¹ For decades, a small number of researchers have implicated aluminum in the development of late-onset Alzheimer's disease. However, the "Aluminum Hypothesis" has been abandoned by the majority of mainstream scientists. 162

In 2000, a study¹⁶³ investigated the relationships between trace elements in drinking water and the thought processes of 1,016 subjects over the age of 65 living in two rural areas of China. In today's U.S. society, people are very mobile and tend to live in multiple places during their lifetimes. In contrast, the rural residents of China rarely move and so in this study the researchers were able to assume that this elderly population had used the same water and food sources throughout their lifetimes. The researchers evaluated the effects on thought processes of seven elements (cadmium, calcium, fluoride, iron, lead, selenium and zinc) found in the water sources at the two study sites. The study assessed thought processes in three areas (memory, language and attention) using a Chinese translation of the Community Screening Interview for Dementia. Taking into account the effects of the seven trace elements, the authors concluded that fluoride is not significantly related to impairment of thought processes such as is seen in Alzheimer's disease. 163

44. Does drinking water fluoridated at recommended levels cause or contribute to heart disease?

Drinking water fluoridated at recommended levels is not a risk factor for heart disease.

Fact.

The American Heart Association identifies aging, male gender, heredity, cigarette and tobacco smoke, high blood cholesterol levels, high blood pressure, physical inactivity, obesity and diabetes mellitus as major risk factors for cardiovascular disease.164

The American Heart Association's website notes: "No evidence exists that adjusting the fluoride content of public water supplies to a level of about one part per million has any harmful effect on the cardiovascular system."165

A number of historical studies have evaluated urban mortality in relation to fluoridation status. Researchers from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health examined a wide range of data from communities that had naturally high levels, optimal levels and low levels of fluoride in water. The results of their analysis published in 1972166 concluded, "Thus, the evidence from comparison of the health of fluoridating and nonfluoridating cities, from medical and pathological examination of persons exposed to a lifetime of naturally occurring fluorides or persons with high industrial exposures, and from broad national experience with fluoridation all consistently indicate no adverse effect on cardiovascular health."166 Two additional studies were published in 1978. In the first study, 104 the mortality trends from 1950-70 were studied for 473 cities in the United States with populations of 25,000 or more. Findings showed no relationship between fluoridation and heart disease death rates over the 20-year period.104 In the second study,105 the mortality rates for approximately 30 million people in 24 fluoridated cities were compared with those of 22 nonfluoridated cities for two years. No evidence was found of any harmful health effects, including heart disease, attributable to fluoridation.105

The misinterpretation of the results of a study by those opposed to fluoridation¹⁶⁷ led the opposition to claim that "research highlights the fact that mass fluoride exposure may be to blame for the cardiovascular disease epidemic that takes more lives each year than cancer." 167 In fact, the study published in Nuclear Medicine Communications in January 2012¹⁶⁸ examines the possible benefits of using a sodium fluoride isotope marker in testing to determine the presence of atherosclerosis and risk for coronary disease. In this case, fluoride's affinity for calcified tissue aided in the location of calcium deposited in arterial walls which could be associated with an increased risk of coronary artery disease. The study made no reference to any relationship between the consumption of fluoridated water and heart disease.168

45. Is the consumption of water fluoridated at recommended levels harmful to kidneys?

Answer.

Consuming water fluoridated at recommended levels has not been shown to cause or worsen kidney disease.

Fact.

Approximately 60% of the fluoride absorbed daily by adults (45% for children) is removed from the body by the kidneys. 52 Because the kidneys are constantly exposed to various fluoride concentrations. any health effects caused by fluoride would likely manifest themselves in kidney cells. However, several large community-based studies of people with long-term exposure to drinking water with fluoride concentrations up to 8 ppm have failed to show an increase in kidney disease. 5,136,169

In a report issued in 1993 by the National Research Council (NRC), the Subcommittee on Health Effects of Ingested Fluoride stated that the threshold dose of fluoride in drinking water which causes kidney effects in animals is approximately 50 ppm — more than 12 times the maximum level allowed in drinking water by the Environmental Protection Agency. Therefore, they concluded that "ingestion of fluoride at currently recommended concentrations is not likely to produce kidney toxicity in humans."8 Furthermore, the NRC report on fluoride in drinking water issued in 2006 concluded that there were no published studies that demonstrate that drinking water fluoridated at recommended levels can damage kidneys. The report further concluded that fluoride concentrations need to be higher than 4 ppm to affect kidney tissues and function.9

A review of scientific studies completed in 2007 for Kidney Health Australia (KHA),170 summarized findings from the recent literature related to the health effects of fluoridated water for people with chronic kidney disease (CKD). The purpose of the review was to provide an up to date summary of studies on the topic so that KHA, the leading organization in Australia that promotes kidney and urinary tract health, could develop a fluoride position paper. The review concluded that while studies on the topic are limited, "there is no evidence that consumption of optimally fluoridated drinking water increases the risk of developing CKD." For those people who have CKD, the report stated that "there is no evidence that

consumption of optimally fluoridated drinking water poses any health risks for people with CKD, although only limited studies addressing this issue are available." There is limited evidence that people with advanced CKD (stages 4 or 5) "who ingest substances with a high concentration of fluoride may be at risk of fluorosis." Accordingly, the report recommended that it would be "prudent" for patients with advanced CKD to monitor fluoride intake and avoid fluoride-rich substances. These conclusions are the basis for KHA's position statement on fluoride which was released in 2007.170 The position statement was updated in 2011 and concluded that "there has been no new published evidence to contradict the 2007 KHA Position Statement."171

According to information on their website, the National Kidney Foundation is the leading organization in the U.S. dedicated to the awareness, prevention and treatment of kidney disease. A paper titled Fluoride Intake in Chronic Kidney Disease dated April 15, 2008, 172 developed by the National Kidney Foundation (NKF) and posted on the NKF website includes the following points under the header "Analysis and Recommendations":

- Dietary advice for patients with CKD should primarily focus on established recommendations for sodium, potassium, calcium, phosphorus, energy/calorie, protein, fat, and carbohydrate intake. Fluoride intake is a secondary concern.
- Individuals with CKD should be notified of the potential risk of fluoride exposure by providing information on the NKF website including a link to the Report in Brief of the National Research Council and the Kidney Health Australia position paper. The risk is likely greatest in areas with naturally high water fluoride levels.
- The NKF has no position on the optimal fluoridation of water. The oral health of people with CKD is certainly of interest to the NKF, but balancing the overall benefits and risks of fluoride exposure is the primary concern.172

Many people with kidney failure depend on hemodialysis (treatment with an artificial kidney machine) for their survival. During hemodialysis, the patient's blood is exposed to large amounts of water each week (280-560 quarts). Therefore, procedures have been designed to ensure that the water utilized in the process contain a minimum of dissolved substances that could diffuse indiscriminately into

the patient's bloodstream.¹⁷³ Both KHA and the NKF recommend careful monitoring of hemodialysis systems to ensure proper mechanical function.^{170,172} Since the composition of water varies in different geographic locations in the United States, the U.S. Public Health Service recommends dialysis units use techniques such as reverse osmosis and de-ionization to remove excess iron, magnesium, aluminum, calcium, and other minerals, as well as fluoride, from tap water before the water is used for dialysis.¹⁷³

46. What are some of the erroneous health claims made against water fluoridation?

Answer.

From sources such as the internet, newsletters, social media and personal anecdotes in emails, it is frequently claimed that community water fluoridation causes the following adverse health effects:

- · AIDS
- · Allergic Reactions (e.g., loss of hair, skin that burns and peels after contact with fluoridated water)
- Accelerated Aging
- Alzheimer's disease
- Arthritis
- Asthma
- Austism
- Behavioral Problems (e.g., attention deficit disorders)
- Bone Disease (e.g., osteoporosis increased bone/ hip fractures)
- · Cancer (all types including osteosarcoma or bone cancer)
- Chronic Bronchitis
- Colic (acute abdominal pain)
- Cystic Fibrosis
- Down Syndrome
- Emphysema
- Enzyme Effects (gene-alterations)
- Flatulence (gas)
- · Gastrointestinal Problems (irritable bowel syndrome)
- · Harmful Interactions with Medications
- Heart Disease
- Increased Infant Mortality
- · Low Birth Weight for Infants
- Kidney Disease
- Lead Poisonings
- Lethargy (lack of energy)
- Lower IO scores

- · Malpositioned Teeth
- · Parkinson's Disease
- · Calcification of the Pineal Gland (causing early puberty) (chronic insomnia);
- · Reproductive issues (damaged sperm) (reduced fertility)
- Skin Conditions (redness, rash/welts, itching)
- Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS)
- · Thyroid Problems (goiter and obesity due to hypothyroidism)

AND

Tooth Decay

Fact.

As discussed throughout this document, the best available scientific evidence consistently has indicated that fluoridation of community water supplies is safe and effective. The possibility of any adverse health effects from continuous low-level consumption of fluoride has been and continues to be studied extensively. Of the thousands of credible scientific studies on fluoridation, none has shown health problems associated with the consumption of optimally fluoridated water.

Of the thousands of credible scientific studies on fluoridation, none has shown health problems associated with the consumption of optimally fluoridated water.

Safety References

- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service Review of fluoride: benefits and risks. Report of the Ad Hoc Subcommittee on Fluoride. Washington, DC; February 1991. Available at: https://health. gov/environment/ReviewofFluoride. Accessed October 28, 2017.
- Royal College of Physicians. Fluoride, teeth and health. London; Pitman Medical 1976. Abstract at: https://www.bfsweb.org/fluoride teeth and health. Accessed October 28, 2017.
- Johansen E, Taves D, Olsen T (ed). Continuing evaluation of the use of fluorides AAAS Selected Symposium 11. Boulder, Colorado; Westview Press:1979.
- Knox EG. Fluoridation of water and cancer. a review of the epidemiological evidence. Report of the Working Party. London. Her Majesty's Stationary Office: 1985. Available at: https:///archive.org/details/op1276356-1001. Accessed October 28. 2017.
- Leone NC, Shimkin MB, Arnold FA, Stevenson CA, Zimmermann ER, Geiser PB, Lieberman JE. Medical aspects of excessive fluoride in a water supply. Public Health Rep 1954;69(10):925-36. Article at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2024409. Accessed October 28, 2017.
- Maxcy KF, Amleton JLT, Bibby BG, Dean HT, Harvey AM, Heyroth FF. National Research Council fluoridation report, J Public Health Dent 1952;12(1). 24-33.
 Abstract at http://onlinelibrarywiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.17527325.1952 tb03609.x/abstract Accessed October 28, 2017.
- National Research Council. Drinking water and health. Volume 1.
 Washington, DC The National Academies Press; 1977. Available at https://www.nap.edu/catalog/1780/drinking water-and health volume 1.
 Accessed October 28, 2017.
- National Research Council. Health effects of ingested fluoride. Report of the Subcommittee on Health Effects of Ingested Fluoride. Washington, DC: National Academy Press. 1993. Available at: https://www.nap.edu/ catalog/2204 Accessed October 28, 2017.
- National Research Council of the National Academies. Division on Earth and Life Studies. Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology. Committee on Fluoride in Drinking Water Fluoride in drinking water: a scientific review of EPA's standards. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2006. Available at. https://www.nap.edu/catalog/11571. Accessed October 28, 2017.
- Australian Government. National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC). Information paper — water fluoridation dental and other human health outcomes Canberra. 2017. Available at https://www.nhmrc.gov. au/guidelines publications/eh43-0. Accessed October 23, 2017.
- O'Mullane DM, Baez RJ, Jones S, Lennon MA, Petersen PE, Rugg Gunn AJ, Whelton H, Whitford GM. Fluoride and oral health. Community Dent Health 2016;33(2):69 99. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/27352462. Accessed October 23, 2017.
- American Water Works Association. Water fluoridation principles and practices. AWWA Manual M 4. Sixth edition. Denver. 2016.
- Water Research Foundation. State of the science: community water fluoridation. 2015. Available at http://www.waterrf.org/PublicReportLibrary/4641.pdf. Accessed October 1, 2017.
- The Network for Public Health Law. Issue brief. community water fluoridation. 2015. Available at: https://www.networkforphlorg/ resources_collection/2015/07/17/664/issue_brief_community_water_ fluoridation. Accessed October 2, 2017.
- Sutton M, Kiersey R, Farragher L, Long J. Health effects of water fluoridation: an evidence review. 2015. Ireland Health Research Board. Available at http://www.hrbie/publications/hrb-publication/ publications/674 Accessed October 28, 2017.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Federal Panel on Community Water Fluoridation. U.S. Public Health Service recommendation for fluoride concentration in drinking water for the prevention of dental caries Public Health Rep 2015;130(4) 318 331. Article at https://www. ncbinlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC 4547570. Accessed October 24, 2017.
- Public Health England. Water fluoridation health monitoring report for England 2014. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/ publications/water-fluoridation health-monitoring-report-forengland-2014. Accessed October 28, 2017.

- 18. Royal Society of New Zealand and the Office of the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor. Health effects of water fluoridation: a review of the scientific evidence. 2014. Available at: https///royalsociety.org.nz/what we do/our expert advice/ail expert advice papers/health effects of-water-fluoridation. Accessed October 28. 2017.
- U.S. Community Preventive Services Task Force. Oral Health Preventing Dental Caries (Cavities): Community Water Fluoridation. Task Force finding and rationale statement. 2013. Available at: https://www. thecommunityguide.org/findings/dental caries cavities-community waterfluoridation. Accessed October 28, 2017.
- 20. Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risks (SCHER) of the European Commission. Critical review of any new evidence on the hazard profile, health effects, and human exposure to fluoride and the fluoridating agents of drinking water. 2011. Available at: http:///ec.europa.eu/health/ scientific_committees/opinions_layman/fluoridation/en/l-3/index.htm. Accessed October 24, 2017.
- Health Canada. Findings and recommendations of the fluoride expert panel (January 2007). 2008. Available at: http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/ pubs/water eau/2008 fluoride fluorure/index eng.php Accessed October 24, 2017.
- Australian Government. National Health and Medical Research Council. A
 systematic review of the efficacy and safety of fluoridation. Part A. review
 of methodology and results. 2007. Available at: https://www.nhmrc.gov.
 au/guidelines publications/eh41. Accessed October 24, 2017.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Toxicology Program. Fluoride. potential developmental neurotoxicity. Available at: https:///ntp.niehs.nih.gov/go/785076. Accessed October 28, 2017.
- ADA News. Federal agencies announce scientific assessments and an update to the recommended community water fluoridation level. January 31, 2011.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Six-Year review 3 of drinking water standards. 2016. Available at https://www.epa.gov/dwsixyearreview/sixyear-review-3 drinking water standards. Accessed October 24, 2017.
- Federal Register. 2011 Jan 13;76(9) 2383-8. Available at: https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2011/01/13/2011-637/proposed hhs recommendation-for fluoride concentration in drinking water-for prevention of dental Accessed October 28, 2017.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Overview of the safe drinking water act. 2015. Available at: https://www.epa.gov/sdwa/overview-safe drinking water-act. Accessed October 28, 2017.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Six-Year review 1 of drinking water standards. 2003. Available at. https://www.epa.gov/dwsixyearreview/sixyearreview-1-drinking water-standards. Accessed October 28, 2017.
- National Research Council of the National Academies Division on Earth and Life Studies. Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology. Committee on Fluoride in Drinking Water. Fluoride in drinking water: a scientific review of EPA's standards Report in brief. 2006. Available at: http://delsnas.edu/ Materials/Report In Brief/4775-Fluoride Accessed October 28, 2017.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Fluoride risk assessment and relative source contribution. 2011. Available at: https://www.epa.gov/ dwstandardsregulations/fluoride risk-assessment-and relative source contribution. Accessed October 28, 2017.
- Federal Register 2017 Jan11;82(7) 3518-3552. Available at: https://www.federalregister.gor/documents/2017/01/11/2016 31262/national primary drinking water regulations announcement of the results of epas review of existing Accessed October 28, 2017.
- Federal Register 1986 Apr 2;51 (63):11410 11412. Available at. https://cdnloc.gov/service/II/fedreg/fr051/fi051063/fi051063.pdf. Accessed October 28, 2017.
- 33. Jackson RD, Brizendine EJ, Kelly SA, Hinesley R, Stookey GK, Dunipace AJ. The fluoride content of foods and beverages from negligibly and optimally fluoridated communities. Comm Dent Oral Epidemiol 2002;30(5):382 91. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12236830. Accessed October 28, 2017.

- 34. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Beltsville Human Nutrition Research Center, Nutrient Data Laboratory. USDA national fluoride database of selected beverages and foods, Release 2. 2005. Availableat. https://www.arsusda.gov/northeastarea/beltsville md/beltsville humannutrition-research center/nutrient-data laboratory/docs/usda national-fluoride database-of-selected beverages-and foods release 2 2005. Accessed August 18, 2017.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Office of Water. Fluoride exposure and relative source contribution analysis. 8 20 R 10 015. Washington, DC: 2010. Available at. https://nepis.epagov/Exe/ZyPURL.cgi?Dockey=P100N49K.TXT. Accessed October 28, 2017.
- Whitford GM. The metabolism and toxicity of fluoride. 2nd rev. ed. Monographs in oral science, Vol. 16. Basel, Switzerland: Karger; 1996.
- Horowitz HS. The effectiveness of community water fluoridation in the United States. J Public Health Dent 1996;56(5 Spec no). 253–8. Abstract at. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9034970. Accessed October 29. 2017.
- Griffin SO, Gooch BF, Lockwood SA, Tomar SL. Quantifying the diffused benefit from water fluoridation in the United States. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2001;29(2) 120 9. Abstract at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/11300171. Accessed October 29, 2017.
- Slade GD, Davies MJ, Spencer AJ, Stewart JF. Associations between exposure to fluoridated drinking water and dental caries experience among children in two Australian states. J Public Health Dent. 1995;55(4) 218–28. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8551461. Accessed October 2, 2017.
- 40. Institute of Medicine. Food and Nutrition Board. Dietary reference intakes for calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, vitamin D and fluoride. Washington, DC: National Academy Press;1997. Available at https://www.nap.edu/catalog/5776/dietary-reference+intakes-for-calcium-phosphorus-magnesium-vitamin d-and-fluoride. Accessed October 29, 2017.
- 41. Rozier RG. Adair S. Graham F. Iafolla T. Kingman A, Kohn W, Krol D, Levy S, Pollick H, Whitford G, Strock S, Frantsve Hawley J, Aravamudhan K, Meyer DM. Evidence based clinical recommendations on the prescription of dietary fluoride supplements for caries prevention: a report of the American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs. J Am Dent Assoc 2010 Dec;1 41(12) 1480 9. Abstract at: https://www.ncbinlmnih.gov/pubmed/21158195. Article at: http://ebd.ADA.org/en/evidence/guidelines/fluoride supplements Accessed October 2, 2017.
- Franzman MR, Levy SM, Warren JJ, Broffitt B. Fluoride dentifrice ingestion and fluorosis of the permanent incisors. J Am Dent Assoc 2006;137(5):645–52. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/16739545. Accessed October 2, 2017.
- Buzalaf MAR, Levy SM. Fluoride intake of children: considerations for dental caries and dental fluorosis. In Buzalaf MAR (ed): Fluoride and the Oral Environment. Monogr Oral Sci. Basel, Karger. 2011;22:1-19. Abstract at. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nihgov/pubmed/21701188. Accessed October 2, 2017.
- Levy SM. Review of fluoride exposures and ingestion. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1994;22(3):173
 80. Abstract at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8070245. Accessed October 2, 2017.
- Barnhart WE, Hiller LK, Leonard GJ. Michaels SE. Dentifrice usage and ingestionamong four age groups. J Dent Res. 1974;53(6):1317–22.
 Abstract at: http://journals.sagepubcom/dol/abs/10.1177/002203457 40530060301. Accessed October 22, 2017.
- Ericsson Y, Forsman B. Fluoride retained from mouthrinses and dentifrices in preschool children. Caries Res 1969;3 290 9.
- Ekstrand J. Ehmebo M. Absorption of fluoride from fluoride dentifrices. Caries Res 1980;14.96 102. Abstract at: https://www.karger.com/ Article/PDF/260442. Accessed October 2, 2017.
- Levy SM. A review of fluoride intake from fluoride dentifrice. J Dent Child 1993;60(2) 115 24: Abstract at: https://www.ncbinlmnili.gov/ pubmed/8486854 Accessed October 2, 2017.
- American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs. Fluoride toothpaste use for young children. J Am Dent Assoc 2014;145(2) 190
 Article at. http://jada.ADA.org/article/S0002 8177(14)60226 9/ fulltext. Accessed October 2, 2017.

- 50. Sá Roriz Fonteles C, Zero DT, Moss ME, Fu J. Fluoride concentrations in enamel and dentin of primary teeth after pre—and postnatal fluoride exposure. Caries Res 2005;39(6) 505-8. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi. nlmnihgov/pubmed/16251796. Accessed September 20, 2017.
- Leverett DH, Adair SM, Vaughan BW, Proskin HM, Moss ME. Randomized clinical trial of effect of prenatal fluoride supplements in preventing dental caries. Caries Res 1997;31(3) 174-79. Abstract at: https://www.ncbinlmnih.gov/pubmed/9165186. Accessed September 20, 2017.
- Buzalaf MAR, Whitford GM. Fluoride metabolism. In Buzalaf MAR (ed): Fluoride and the Oral Environment. Monogr Oral Sci. Basel, Karger. 2011;22:20 36. Abstract at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/21701189. Accessed September 20, 2017.
- Newbrun E. Fluorides and dental caries contemporary concepts for practitioners and students (3rd ed). 1986. Springfield, Illinois: Charles C. Thomas, publisher.
- Newbrun E. Systemic benefits of fluoride and fluoridation. J Public Health Dent 2004;64:(Spec Iss 1):35 9. Article at. http://onlinelibrary.wiley. com/doi/10.1111/j.1752-7325.2004.tb02775.x/abstract. Accessed September 20, 2017.
- 55. Singh KA, Spencer AJ, Armfield BA. Relative effects of pre- and posteruption water fluoride on caries experience of permanent first molars. J Public Health Dent 2003;63(1):11–19. Abstract at: https://www.ncbinlmnihgov/pubmed/12597581. Accessed September 20, 2017.
- 56. Singh KA, Spencer AJ. Relative effects of pre- and post-eruption water fluoride on caries experience by surface type of permanent first molars. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2004;32(6):435—46. Abstract at: https://www.ncbinlmnih.gov/pubmed/15541159. Accessed September 20, 2017.
- Singh KA, Spencer AJ. Brennan DS. Effects of water fluoride exposure at crown completion and maturation on caries of permanent first molars. Caries Res 2007:41(1) 34 42. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/17167257. Accessed September 20, 2017.
- 58. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Office of Water. Fluoride: dose response analysis for non-cancer effects. 820 R 10 019. Washington, DC; 2010. Available at: https:// nepis.epagov/Exe/ZyPURL.cgi?Dockey=P100N458.TXT. Accessed September 20, 2017.
- McDonagh MS, Whiting PF, Wilson PM, Sutton AJ, Chestnutt I, Cooper J. Misso K. Bradley M, Treasure E. Kleijnen J. Systematic review of water fluoridation. BMJ 2000;321(7265):855 9. Abstract at https://www.ncbi. nlmnihgov/pubmed/110 218 61. Article at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pmc/articles/PM C27 492. Accessed October 28, 2017.
- Levy SM, Warren JJ, Phipps K, Letuchy E, Broffitt B. Eichenberger-Gilmore J, Burns TL, Kavand G, Janz KF, Torner JC, Pauley CA. Effects of life long intake on bone measures of adolescents; a prospective cohort study. J Dent Res 2014;93(4):353 9. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmedl/24470542. Article at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/ articles/PMC3957342. Accessed August 18, 2017.
- Levy SM. Eichenberger-Gilmore J, Warren JJ. Letuchy E, Broffitt B, Marshall TA, Burns T, Willing M, Janz K, Torner JC. Associations of fluoride intake with children's bone measures at age 11. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2009;37(5):416
 26. Available at https://www.ncbinlnn.nih.gov/pmc/ articles/PMC2765810. Accessed August 18, 2017.
- Násman P, Ekstrand J, Granath F, Ekbom A, Fored CM. Estimated drinking water fluoride exposure and risk of hip fracture a cohort study. J Dent Res 2013;92(11):1029 34. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/24084670. Accessed August 18, 2017.
- 63. Sowers M, Whitford G, Clark M, Jannausch M. Elevated serum fluoride concentrations in women are not related to fractures and bone mineral density. J Nutr 2005;135(9):2247-52. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/16140906. Accessed August 18, 2017.
- 64. Li Y, Liang C, Slemenda C, Ji R, Sun S, Cao J, Emsley C, Ma F, Wu Y, Ying P. Zhang Y, Gao S, Zhang W, Katz B. Niu S. Cao S. Johnston Jr. C. Effect of long-term exposure to fluoride in drinking water: J Bone Miner Res 2001;16(5) 932 9. Abstract at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11341339. Accessed August 18, 2017.
- Hillier S, Cooper C, Kellingray S, Russell G, Hughes H, Coggon D. Fluoride in drinking water and risk of hip fracture in the UK: a case control study. Lancet 2000;22:355(9200):265 9. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/10675073. Accessed August 18, 2017.

- 66. PhippsKR, Orwoll ES, Mason JD, Cauley JA. Community water fluoridation, bone mineral density, and fractures: prospective study of effects in older women, BMJ 2000;7;321(7265):860-4. Abstract at. https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11021862. Article at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pmc/articles/PMC27493 Accessed August 18, 2017.
- 67. lida H, Kumar JV. The association between enamel fluorosis and dental caries in U.S. schoolchildren. JAm Dent Assoc 2009;140(7):855-62. Abstract at: https:///www.ncbinlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19571049. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 68. Massler M, Schour I. Chronology of crown and root development. In Massier M, Schour I (ed): Atlas of the Mouth in Health and Disease (2nd ed). Chicago: American Dental Association; 1982.
- 69. Horowitz HS. Indexes for measuring dental fluorosis J Public Health Dent 1986;46(4),179 83. Abstract at: https://www.ncbinlmnih.gov/ pubmed/3465956. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 70. Levertt D. Prevalence of dental fluorosis in fluoridated and nonfluoridated communities - a preliminary investigation. J Public Health Dent 1986:46(4):184 7 Abstract at: https://www.ncbinlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/3465957. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 71. Pendrys DG, Katz RV, Morse DE. Risk factors for enamel fluorosis in a nonfluoridated population. Am J Epidemiol 1996;143(8):808-15. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8610691. Accessed August
- 72. Pendrys DG, Stamm JW. Relationship of total fluoride intake to beneficial effects and enamel fluorosis J Dent Res 1990;69(Spec No):529-38. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2179311. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 73. Dean HT. The investigation of physiological effects by the epidemiological method. In. Moulton FR, ed. Fluorine and dental health. American Association for the Advancement of Science, Publication No. 19. Washington, DC: 1942:23 31.
- 74. Kumar JV, Swango PA, Opima PN, Green EL. Dean's fluorosis index: an assessment of examiner reliability. J Public Health Dent 2000;60(1):57 9. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10734619. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 75. Beltrán-Aguilar ED, Barker L, Dye BA. Prevalence and severity of dental fluorosis in the United States, 1999 2004. NCHS data brief, no 53. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2010. Abstract at: https://www. ncbinImnihgov/pubmed/21211168. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/ nchs/data/databriefs/db53.pdf. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 76. Lewis DW, Banting DW. Water fluoridation: current effectiveness and dental fluorosis Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1994;22(3) 153 8. Abstractat: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8070242. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 77. Federal Register 1993 Dec 29;58(248) 68826 68827. Available at https://adnloc.gov/service/ll/fedreg/fr058/fi058248/fi058248.pdf. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 78. Chankanka O, Levy SM, Warren JJ, Chalmers JM. A literature review of aesthetic perceptions of dental fluorosis and relationships with psychosocial aspects/oral health related quality of life. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2010;38(2):97-109. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/20002631. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 79. Do LG, Spencer A. Oral health related quality of life of children by dental caries and fluorosis experience. J Public Health Dent 2007;67(3):132- Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17899897. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 80. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Surveillance for dental caries, dental sealants, tooth retention, edentulism, and enamel fluorosis United States, 1988 1994 and 1999 2002. MMWR 2005:54(No. SS 3). Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/indss_2005.html Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 81. Dean HT. Endemic fluorosis and its relation to dental caries. Public Health Rep 1938;53(33):1443 52. Article at: https://www.jstor.org/ stable/4582632. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 82. Dean HT, Arnold FA, Elvove E. Domestic water and dental carles: V. Additional studies of the relation of fluoride domestic waters to dental caries experience in 4,425 white children, aged 12 to 14 years, of 13 cities in 4 states. Public Health Rep 1942;57(32) 1155-79. Article at: https:// www.jstor.org/stable/458 418 2 Accessed August 28, 2017.

- 83. Horowitz HS. Fluoride and enamel defects. Adv Dent Res 1989;3(2):143 6. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2701157. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 84. Berg J, Gerweck C, Hujoel PP, King R, Krol DM, Kumar J, Levy S, Pollick H, Whitford GM, Strock S, Aravamudhan K, Frantsve Hawley J, Meyer DM. American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs Expert Panel on Fluoride Intake From Infant Formula and Fluorosis Evidence based clinical recommendations regarding fluoride intake from reconstituted infant formula and enamel fluorosis: a report of the American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs, J Am Dent Assoc 2011;142(1):79 87. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmedl/21243832 Accessed August 23, 2017.
- 85. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Overview: infant formula. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/fluoridation/faqs/infant-formula. html Accessed August 23, 2017.
- 86. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. HHS: Proposed guidelines on fluoride in drinking water. 2011 Mar 8. Available at: https://www. medscape.com/viewarticle/738322. Accessed August 23, 2017.
- 87. American Public Health Association Policy Statement Data Base. Policy 20087. Community water fluoridation in the United States. 2008 Oct 28. Available at: https://www.aphaorg/policies-and advocacy/publie health-policy-statements. Accessed August 23, 2017.
- 88. New York State Department of Health. Guidance for use of fluoridated water for feeding during infancy. Available at http://www.health.ny.gov/ prevention/dental/fluoride_guidance_during_infanc y.htm. Accessed: August 23, 2017.
- 89. Celeste RK, Luz PB. Independent and additive effects of different sources of fluoride and dental fluorosis. Pediatr Dent 2016;38(3):233 8. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27306248. Accessed August 23. 2017.
- 90. Pendrys DG. Risk of enamel fluorosis in nonfluoridated and optimally fluoridated populations, considerations for the dental professional, J Am Dent Assoc 2000;131(6):746-55. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/10860326. Accessed August 23, 2017.
- 91. Ismail Al, Hasson H. Fluoride supplements, dental caries and fluorosis: a systematic review. J Am Dent Assoc 2008;139(11) 1457 68. Abstract at https://www.ncbi.nlmnih.gov/pubmed/18978383. Accessed October 2,
- 92. American Dental Association. Oral health topics. Caries risk assessment and management. Available at http://www.ADA.org/en/member-centet/ oral-health topics/caries-risk-assessment-and-management Accessed October 2, 2017.
- 93 American Dental Association Oral Health Topics, Mouthwash (mouthrinse). Available at: http://www.ADA.org/en/member center/oral health topics/mouthrinse Accessed October 2, 2017.
- 94. 21 CFR 330.1 General conditions for general recognition as safe, effective and not misbranded. Available at: https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi bin/text-idx? 51D=9b3e9844e3dadeee276f8c08d75bca82&mc=true&node=se21.5. 330_11&rgn=div8 Accessed October 27, 2017-
- 95. 21 CFR 330.5 Drug categories. Available at: https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi bin/retrieveECFR?gp=&SID=9b3e9844e3dadeee276f8c08d75bca82 &mc-true&n-pt21.5.330&r-PART&ty-HTML#se21.5.33 Q_5. Accessed October 27, 2017.
- 96. 21 CFR 355.50 Labeling of anticaries drug products. Available at: https:// www.ecfr:gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID-ec4da50b801ce671286ff761c73 0113f&mc_true&node_se21.5.355_150&rgn_div8. Accessed October 27, 2017
- 97. Whitford GM. Acute toxicity of ingested fluoride. In Buzalaf MAR (ed): Fluoride and the Oral Environment. Monogr Oral Sci. Basel, Karger. 2011;22:66 80. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/21701192. Accessed October 2, 2017.
- 98. Stevenson CA, Watson AR. Fluoride osteosclerosis American Journal of Roetgenology, Radium Therapy and Nuclear Medicine 1957;78(1):13 18.
- 99. Hodge HC. Thesafety of fluoride tablets or drops. In: Continuing evaluation of the use of fluorides. Johansen E, Tavaes DR, Olsen TO, eds. Boulder, Colorado, Westview Press:1979:253 75.
- 100. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Superfund: national priorities list (NPL). Available at https://www.epa.gov/superfund/superfund national-prioritie s-list-npl. Accessed August 16, 2017.

- 101. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). Toxicological Profile for fluorine, hydrogen fluoride, and fluorides. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service. 2003. Available at: https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance. asp?toxid- 38 Accessed August 16, 2017.
- 102. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). Public health statement for fluorides Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service. 2003. Available at: http:///www. atsdr.cdc.gov/PHS/PHSasp?id-210&tid 38. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- Hoover RN, McKay FW, Fraumeni JF. Fluoridated drinking water and the occurrence of cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 1976;57(4):757
 68. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1003528. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- Erickson JD. Mortality in selected cities with fluoridated and nonfluoridated water supplies. New Eng J Med 1978;298(20):1112 6.
 Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/643029. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- Rogot E, Sharrett AR, Feinleib M, Fabsitz RR. Trends in urban mortality in relation to fluoridation status. Am J Epidemiol 1978;107(2):104-12, Abstract at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/623093. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- Chilvers C. Cancer mortality and fluoridation of water supplies in 35 U.S. cities. Int J Epidemiol 1983;12(4):397 404. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6654558. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- 10.7 Mahoney MC, Nasca PC, Burnett WS, Melius JM. Bone cancer incidence rates in New York State: time trends and fluoridated drinking water. Am J Public Health 1991;81 (4):475-9. Abstract at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/2003628. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- Cohn PD, New Jersey Department of Health, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy, An epidemiologic report on drinking water and fluoridation. Trenton, NJ;1992.
- 109. Tohyama E. Relationship between fluoride concentration in drinking water and mortality rate from uterine cancer in Okinawa Prefecture, Japan. J Epidemiol 1996;6(4):184-190. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/9002384 Article at: https://www.jstage..jstgo.jp/article/ jea1991/6/4/6_4_184/_article Accessed August 16, 2017.
- Kinlen L, Cancer incidence in relation to fluoride level in water supplies. Br Dent J 1975:138(6) 221-4.
- Chilvers C, Conway D. Cancer mortality in England in relation to levels
 of naturally occurring fluoride in water supplies. J Epidemiol Comm
 Health 1985;39(1):44-7. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
 pubmed/3989433. Article at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/
 articles/PMC10 52399. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- Cook-Mozaffari PC, Bulusu L, Doll R. Fluoridation of water supplies and cancer mortality: a search for an effect in the UK on risk of death from cancer. J Epidemiol Comm Health 1981;35:227 32. Article at: https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1052168. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- 113. Raman S, Becking G, Grimard M, Hickman JR, McCullough RS, Tate RA. Fluoridation and cancer: an analysis of Canadian drinking water fluoridation and cancer mortality data. Environmental Health Directorate. Health Protection Branch. Ottawa. Canada. Authority of the Minister of National Health and Welfare; 1977.
- Richards GA, Ford JM. Cancer mortality in selected New South Wales localities with fluoridated and nonfluoridated water supplies Med J Aust 1979;2(10) 521 3. Abstract at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/530145. Accessed August 16. 2017.
- 115. World Health Organization. International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC monographs on the evaluation of the carcinogenic risk of chemicals to humans. Vol. 27. Switzerland; 1982. Available at: http://monographs. iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol1 42/index.php. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). About Proposition 65. Available at: https://oehha.ca.gov/ proposition-65/about-proposition-65. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- 117. California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) Meeting synopsis and slide presentations cardnogen identification committee meeting held on October 12, 2 011. Available at: https://oehha. cagov/proposition 65/transcript-comment-presentation/meeting synopsis-and-slide-presentations-carcinogen. Accessed August 16, 2017.

- American Cancer Society. Water fluoridation and cancer risk. Available at https://www.cancer.org/cancer/cancer causes/water fluoridation and-cancer-risk.html. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- American Society of Clinical Oncology, Osteosarcoma childhood and adolescence: statistics. Available at: https://www.cancer.net/cancer types/osteosarcoma-childhood/statistics. Accessed August. 16, 2017.
- 120. Blakey K, Feltbower RG, Parslow RC, James PW, Gómez Pozo B, Stiller C, Vincent TJ, Norman P, McKinney PA, Murphy MF, Craft AW. McNally RJ. Is fluoride a risk factor for bone cancer? Small area analysis of osteosar coma and Ewing sarcoma diagnosed among 0 49-year-olds in Great Britain, 1980 2005. Int J Epidemiol 2014;43(1) 224 34. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24425828. Article at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3937980 Accessed August 16, 2017.
- 121. Kim FM, Hayes C. Williams PL, Whitford GM, Joshipura KJ, Hoover RN, Douglass CW. National Osteosarcoma Etiology Group. An assessment of bone fluoride and osteosarcoma. Dent Res 2011;90(10):1171-6. Abstract at: https://www.ncbinlmnihgov/pubmed/21799046. Article at: https://www.ncbinlmnihgov/pmc/articles/PMC3173011. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- 122. Bassin EB, Wypij D, Davis RB, Mittleman MA. Age specific fluoride exposure in drinking water and osteosarcoma (United States). Cancer Causes Control 2006;17(4):421 8. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/16596294. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- Bassin B, Mittleman Murray, Wypij D, Joshipura K, Douglass C. Problems in exposure assessment of fluoride in drinking water. J Public Health Dent 2004;64(1):45–9. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/15078061. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- 124. Kaminsky LS, Mahoney MC, Leach J, Melius J, Miller MJ. Fluoride: benefits and risks of exposure Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 1990;1(4) 261–81. Abstract at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2129630. Accessed August 18, 2017.
- Jenkins G, Venkateswarlu P, Zipkin I. Physiological effects of small doses of fluoride. In Fluorides and human health. World Health Organization Monograph Series No. 59. Geneva; 1970:163 223.
- Hodge HC, Smith FA. Biological properties of inorganic fluorides. In Fluorine chemistry. Simons HH, ed. New York. Academic Press;1965:1–42.
- 127. The National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Office on News and Public Information. Fluoride in drinking water: a scientific review of EPA's standards. March 22, 2006. Audioavailable at: https:// www.nap.edu/webcast/webcast_detail.php?webcast_id=325. Accessed August 18, 2017.
- Barberio AM, Hosein FS, Quiñonez C, McLaren L. Fluoride exposure and indicators of thyroid functioning in the Canadian population: implications for community water fluoridation. J Epidemiol Community Health 2017;71(10):10 19-25. Abstract at. https://www.ncbi.nlm. nlh.gov/pubmed/28839078. Article at: http://jech.bmj.com/ content/71/10/1019.long. Accessed September 22, 2017.
- 129. Peckham S, Lowery D, Spencer S. Are fluoride levels in drinking water associated with hypothyroidism prevalence in England? A large observational study of GP practice data and fluoride levels in drinking water. J Epidemiol Community Health 2015;69(7):619-24. Abstract at. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nihgov/pubmed/25714098. Accessed September 22, 2017.
- Foley M. Fluoridation and hypothyroidism-a commentary on Peckham et al. Br Dent J 2015;219(9):429 31. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/26564353 Accessed September 22, 2017.
- 131. Grimes DR. Commentary on 'Are fluoride levels in drinking water associated with hypothyroidism prevalence in England? A large observation study of GP practice data and fluoride levels in drinking water". J Epidemiol Community Health 2015;69(7):616. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25788719. Accessed September 22, 2017.
- Newton J N, Young N, Verne J, Morris J. Water fluoridation and hypothyroidism: results of this study need much more cautious interpretation. J Epidemiol Community Health 2015;69(7) 617 8. Article at: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4484260/. Accessed September 22, 2017.

- 133. Warren JJ, Saraiva MC. No evidence supports the claim that water fluoridation causes hypothyroidism. J Evid Based Dent Pract 2015:15(3). 137-9. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmedl/26337589. Accessed September 22, 2017.
- 134. Pineal gland. Encyclopaedia Britannica. Available at: https://www. britannica.com/science/pineal-gland. Accessed September 20. 2017.
- 135. Luke J. Fluoride deposition in the aged human pineal gland. Caries Res 2001;35(2):125 28. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/11275672. Accessed September 20. 2017.
- 136. Schlesinger ER, Overton DE, Chase HC, Cantwell KT. Newburgh Kingston caries fluorine study XIII: pediatric findings after ten years. J Am Dent Assoc 1956:52(3), 296-306. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/13294993. Accessed September 20, 2017.
- 137. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Centers for Disease Control. Dental Disease Prevention Activity Update of fluoride/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) allegation. Pub. No. FL-133. Atlanta; June 1987.
- 138. Challacombe SJ. Does fluoridation harmimmune function? Comm Dent Health 1996;13(Suppl 2) 69-7 1. Abstract at: https://www.ncbinlmnih. gov/pubmed/8897755 Accessed September 26, 2017.
- 139. World Health Organization Fluorine and fluorides: environmental health criteria 36. Geneva, Switzerland; 1984.
- 140. Schlesinger E. Health studies in areas of the USA with controlled water fluoridation. In: Fluorides and Human Health. World Health Organization Monograph Series No. 59. Geneva; 1970 305 10.
- 141. Lowry R, Steen N, Rankin J. Water fluoridation, stillbirths, and congenital abnormalities. J Epidemiol Comm Health 2003;57(7) 499-500. Article at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1732512. Accessed September 26, 2017.
- 142. National Down Syndrome Society. What is Down syndrome? Available at: https://www.ndss.org/about-down syndrome/down syndrome. Accessed September 26, 2017.
- 143. Needleman BL. Pueschel SM, Rothman KJ. Fluoridation and the occurrence of Down's Syndrome. New Eng J Med 1974;291(16):821 3.
- 144. Knox EG. Armstrong E. Lancashire R. Fluoridation and the prevalence of congenital malformations. Comm Med 1980;2(3):190 4.
- 145. Erickson JD. Down syndrome, waterfluoridation and maternal age. Teratol 1980;21(2):177-80. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/6446780. Accessed September 26, 2017.
- 146. Broadbent JM, Thomson WM, Ramrakha S, Moffitt TE, Zeng J. Foster Page LI: Poulton R. Community water fluoridation and intelligence: prospective study in New Zealand. Am J Public Health 2015;105(1):72-76. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24832151. Article at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4265943. Accessed October 29, 2017.
- 147. Bazian Ltd. Independent critical appraisal of selected studies reporting an association between fluoride in drinking water and IQ, London; 2009.
- 148. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Assessing and Managing Chemicals under TSCA. Support documents for fluoride chemicals in drinking water Section 21 petition. Available at: https://www.epa.gov/ assessing-and-managing-chemicals-under-tsca/support-documentsfluoride chemicals drinking water Accessed October 29, 2017.
- 149. Bashash M, Thomas D, Hu H, Angeles Martinez-Mier E, Sanchez BN, Basu N. Peterson KE. Ettinger AS. Wright R. Zhang Z. Liu Y, Schnaas L. Mercado-García A, María Téllez Rojo M, Hernández-Avila M. Prenatal fluoride exposure and cognitive outcomes in children at 4 and 6-12 years of age in Mexico. Environ Health Perspect 2017;125(9):097017-1 12. Abstract at: https://www.ncbinlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28937959. Article at: https:// ehpniehsnihgov/ehp655. Accessed October 29, 2017.
- 150. Macek MD, Matte TD, Sinks T, Malvitz D. Blood lead concentrations in children and method of water fluoridation in the United States, 1988-1994. Environ Health Perspect 2006;114(1):130-4 Abstract at: https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16393670. Article at. https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1332668. Accessed October 2, 2017
- 151. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Lead in drinking water and human blood lead levels in the United States. MMWR 2012;61(Suppl; August 10, 2012) 1 9. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/ preview/mmwrhtml/su6104a1.htm?s_cid_su6104a1_w. Accessed October 2, 2017:

- 152. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Ten great public health achievements United States, 1990 1999. MMWR 1999;48(12):241 3. Available at: https://www.cdcgov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00056796. htm. Accessed October 2, 2017.
- 153. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Fluoridation growth data Table (1940-2014). Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/fluoridation/ statistics/fsgrowthhtm Accessed October 29, 2017.
- 15.4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Adult Blood Lead Epidemiology and Surveillance — United States, 1998-2001. MMWR 2002;51(No. 5S-11) 1 12. Available at https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/ indss_2002.html. Accessed October 29, 2017.
- 155. American Water Works Association. Internal corrosion control in water distribution systems, AWWA Manual M58. Second edition, Denver, 2017,
- 156. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Drinking Water Requirements for States and Public Water Systems, Optimal corrosion control treatment evaluation technical recommendations, 2016, Available at, https://www. epagov/dwreginfo/optimal-corrosion-control-treatment-evaluation technical recommendations Accessed September 20, 2017.
- 157 Master RD, Coplan MJ. Water treatment with silicof luoride and lead toxicity. Int J Environ Studies 1999;56.435 49.
- 158. Urbansky ET, Schock MR, Can fluoridation affect lead(II) in potable water? Hexafluor osilicate and fluoride equilibria in aqueous solution. Int J Environ Studies 2000;57:597 637.
- 159. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. National Institute on Aging. What causes Alzheimer's disease? Available at https://www.nia. nih.gov/health/what causes-alzheimers disease Accessed August 23,
- 160. Varner JA, Jensen KF, Horvath W, Isaacson RL. Chronic administration of aluminum fluoride or sodium-fluoride to rats in drinking water. alterations in neuronal and cerebrova scular integrity. Brain Res 1998:78 4(1-2) 28 4-98. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/9518651. Accessed August 23, 2017.
- 161. American Dental Association. Health Media Watch: Study linking fluoride and Alzheimer's under scrutiny. J Am Dent Assoc 1998;129(9):1216-8.
- 162. Lidsky T. Is the aluminum hypothesis dead? J Occup Environ Med 2014; 56(5 Suppl):S73 9. Abstract at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/24806729 Article at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/ articles/PMC4131942. Accessed August 23, 2017.
- 163. Emsley CL, Gao S, LI Y, Liang C, Ji R, Hall KS, Cao J, Ma F, Wu Y, Ying P, Zhang Y, Sun S. Unverzagt. FW, Slemenda CW. Hendrie HC. Trace element levels in drinking water and cognitive function among elderly Chinese. Am J Epidemiol 2000;151(9):913-20. Abstract at: https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10791564. Accessed August 23, 2017.
- 164. American Heart Association. Coronary artery disease coronary heart disease. Available at http://www.heart.org/HEARTORG/Conditions/ More/MyHeartandStrokeNews/Coronary Artery Disease The ABCs-of-CAD_UCM_436416_Article.jsp#.WgEWVmeotow.Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 165. American Heart Association. Minerals, inorganic substances: fluoridation. Available at: http://www.heart.org/HEARTORG/Healthyliving/ HealthyEating/Minerals-InorganieSubstances_UC M_306012 Article...jsp#.WgEWAmeotow. Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 166. U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Division of Dental Health. Misrepresentation of statistics on heart deaths in Antigo, Wisconsin Pub. No. PPB 47. Bethesda, MD; November 1972
- 167. Gucciardi A. Breaking fluoride linked to #1 cause of death in new research. The Natural Society Newsletter. January 17, 2012. Available at http://naturalsociety.com/breaking f.'uoride linked to 1 cause of death-in-new-research. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- 168. Li Y, Berenji GR. Shaba, Tafti B, Yevdayev E, Dadparvar S. Association of vascular fluoride uptake with vascular calcification and coronary artery disease. Nucl Med Commun 2012;33(1) 14-20. Abstract at: https:// www.ncbinlm.nih.gov/pubmedl/21946616. Accessed August 16, 2017.
- 169. Geever EF, Leone NC, Geiser P, Lieberman J. Pathologic studies in man after prolonged ingestion of fluoride in drinking water. I. Necropsy findings in a community with a water level of 2.5 ppm. J Am Dent Assoc 1958;56(4):499 507.

- 170. Ludlow M, Luxton G, Mathew T. Effects of fluoridation of community water supplies for people with chronic kidney disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2007;22(10) 2763-7. Article at: https://academic.oup.com/ ndt/article/22/10/2763/1833116: Accessed October 29, 2017.
- 171. Kidney Health Australia. 2011 Review of Kidney Health Australia fluoride position statement. 2011. Available at: http:///kidney.or9.au/ cms_uploads/docs/2011-review-of-fluoride-position statement.pdf. Accessed October 29, 2017.
- 172. National Kidney Foundation. Fluoride intake in chronic kidney disease. April 15, 2008. Available at https://www.kidney.org/atoz/content/ fluoride Accessed August 28, 2017.
- 173. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service. Surgeon General's advisory: treatment of waterfor use in dialysis. artificial kidney treatments. Washington DC Government Printing Office 872 021: June 1980.